TECHNICAL REVIEW AND EVALUATION FOR MINOR REVISION NO. 37783

PERMITTEE: Tucson Electric Power Company APP

ADDRESS:

PO Box 711, Mail Stop: UE204

Tucson, AZ 85702

EQUIPMENT LOCATION:

APP RCVD DATE: 9/23/2005

ORGL PERMIT NO: 1000105 revised by Permit No. 1001554

Today's Date: March 8, 2006

PORTABLE: No

PERMIT CLASS: I

TITLE V SOURCE: Yes

Springerville, Apache County, AZ, 85938

QUALIFICATION FOR MINOR PERMIT REVISION	YES	NO	N/A	RMK. NO.	RVWD BY
1. Has a complete minor permit revision application been filed? Application should include a description of the change, the emissions resulting from the change, and any new applicable requirements that will apply if the change occurs. A certification by a responsible official consistent with the standard permit application requirements, that the proposed revision meets the criteria for use of minor permit revision procedures and a request that such procedures be used. For Class I sources, the source's suggested draft permit should be included.	X			1.	ay1
2. Has the permittee paid the appropriate application fee?	X				ay1
3. The changes proposed at the source:	X				ay1
do not violate any applicable requirements; AND do not involve substantive changes to existing monitoring, reporting or recordkeeping requirements in the permit; AND do not require or change a case-by-case determination of an emission limitation or other standard, or a source specific determination of ambient impacts, or a visibility or increment analysis; AND do not seek to establish or change a permit condition to avoid a requirement which would otherwise apply. Examples of this are a federally enforceable emission cap used to avoid classification as a modification under any provisions of the Title I of the Act; and an alternative emissions limit approved pursuant to section 112(i)(5) of the CAA; AND are not modifications under any provision of Title I of the Act or regulations promulgated in ARS 49-426.06; AND					

TECHNICAL REVIEW AND EVALUATION FOR MINOR REVISION NO. 37783, CONTINUED

QUALIFICATION FOR MINOR PERMIT REVISION	YES	NO	N/A	RMK. NO.	RVWD BY
3. The changes proposed at the source:	X				ay1
are not changes in fuels not represented in the permit application or provided for in the permit; AND do not increase the source's potential to emit any regulated air pollutant by an amount greater than "significant"; AND do not require to be processed as a significant permit revision;					
4. If it is a change to a Class I permit, were the Administrator and affected states	X				ay1

Permittee: Tucson Electric Power Company

Name of Engineer: Amy Young Page 1 of 2

informed within 5 working days of receipt of the application?		
5. For minor permit revisions to Class II permits, did the Director	X	ay1
issue the permit revision as proposed; OR		
deny the permit revision application;		
OR		
determine that the permit revision does not meet the minor permit revision criteria; OR		
revise and issue the proposed revision within 90 days of receipt of the application?		
6. For minor permit revisions to Class I permits, did the Director provide the Administrator 45 days to review the revision? The Administrator can, however, notify the Director that the Administrator will not object to the issuance of permit revision before the end of their 45 day review period.	X	ay1
7. For minor permit revisions to Class I permits, did the Director		ay1
issue the permit revision as proposed;		
OR		
deny the permit revision application;		
OR		
determine that the permit revision does not meet the minor permit revision criteria; OR		
revise and issue the proposed revision within 90 days of receipt of the application?		

TECHNICAL REVIEW AND EVALUATION FOR MINOR REVISION NO. 37783, CONTINUED

REMARK NUMBER	REMARKS	
	This minor permit revision is to implement the terms of a consent decree issued by the United States District Court for the District of Arizona on June 24, 2005, in the matter of <u>The Grand Canyon Trust v. Tucson Electric Power Company</u> , CV01-2189 PCT EHC. There will be no increase in the source's potential to emit or in actual emissions as a result of this project. This is not a modification under any provision of Title I. In addition, this project satisfies all other gatekeepers for a minor revision change outlined in A.A.C.R18-319.B.	j

Permittee: Tucson Electric Power Company Name of Engineer: Amy Young Today's Date: March 8, 2006 Page 2 of 2